ARTICLE IN PRESS

Geoderma xxx (xxxx) xxxx



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Geoderma

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/geoderma



Rational utilization of leguminous green manure to mitigate methane emissions by influencing methanogenic and methanotrophic communities

Guopeng Zhou^{a,b}, Songjuan Gao^c, Changxu Xu^d, Fugen Dou^e, Katsu-yoshi Shimizu^f, Weidong Cao^{a,c,*}

- ^a Key Laboratory of Plant Nutrition and Fertilizer, Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Affairs/Institute of Agricultural Resources and Regional Planning, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Beijing 100081, PR China
- ^b The Graduate School, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Beijing 100081, PR China
- ^c College of Resources and Environmental Sciences, Nanjing Agricultural University, Nanjing 210095, PR China
- d Institute of Soil&Fertilizer and Resource&Environment, Jiangxi Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Nanchang 330200, PR China
- e Texas A&M AgriLife Research Center, Beaumont, TX 77713, USA
- ^f Faculty of Agriculture, Kagoshima University, Kagoshima 890-0065, Japan

ARTICLE INFO

Handling Editor: Daniel Said-Pullicino
Keywords:

Co-incorporation of rice straw and green manure Greenhouse gas

Microbial mechanism Paddy soil

ABSTRACT

Although rice straw return is widely recommended for maintaining or improving soil fertility and rice yields, it inevitably increases methane (CH₄) emissions. Effective measures to mitigate CH₄ emissions while guaranteeing grain yields are urgently needed. A 2-year mesocosm experiment was conducted. Six management systems, i.e., no residue (traditional management, CF), 100% rice straw (a popular practice among farmers, FR), 50% rice straw (F1/2R), leguminous green manure (FM), mixture of leguminous green manure and 100% rice straw (FMR), and a mixture of leguminous green manure and 50% rice straw (FM1/2R), were used. Compared with the CF, the organic residue returns could effectively improve rice productivity but increase the CH₄ emissions. Notably, when compared with the FR, both F1/2R and FM could reduce the CH₄ emissions, with equal crop yields, and FM1/2R not only increased the rice yields but also reduced the CH₄ emissions, whereas FMR increased the rice yields and the CH₄ emissions. Applications of organic residues significantly increased the soil methane production potentials (MPPs), methane oxidation potentials (MOPs), the abundances of methanogens and methanotrophs, and changed methanogenic and methanotrophic community structures in comparison with the CF. The partial least squares path model (PLS-PM) results showed that the MPPs had a strong direct effect on CH₄ emissions, whereas an abundance of methanogens could serve as a proxy for predicting CH₄ emissions. Moreover, relatively high MOPs associated with high Type I/Type II methanotroph ratios and relatively low dissolved organic carbon (DOC) concentrations were found to be central in lowering CH4 emission fractions under the F1/2R and FM1/2R treatments. Above all, the combined utilization of leguminous green manure and rice straw exhibited potential prospects for the enhancement of rice yields and for the mitigation of CH₄ emissions, and the practice of mixing leguminous green manure with 50% rice straw performed the best of the treatments tested.

1. Introduction

Methane (CH₄) is the second largest contributor to radiative forcing after carbon dioxide, causing approximately 15–20% of the observed global warming (IPCC 2013). In the 2000 s, global CH₄ from paddy soils was estimated at 33–40 Tg CH₄ year $^{-1}$, accounting for 6–7% of global emissions (Ciais et al., 2014). Paddy CH₄ emissions result as an integrated effect of production and consumption (oxidation) in soils.

Better knowledge of the processes that affect CH₄ emissions may reveal more means of effectively mitigating the CH₄ emissions from paddy soils.

Methane is the final product of anaerobic methanogens, which include acetoclastic and hydrogenotrophic methanogens and play a vital role in all biogenically produced CH₄ found in anoxic habitats (Conrad, 2007). To date, only the genera *Methanosarcina* and *Methanosaeta* (both belonging to order *Methanosarcinales*) have been identified as

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2019.114071

Received 31 March 2019; Received in revised form 31 October 2019; Accepted 4 November 2019 0016-7061/ © 2019 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Please cite this article as: Guopeng Zhou, et al., Geoderma, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2019.114071

^{*} Corresponding author at: Key Laboratory of Plant Nutrition and Fertilizer, Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Affairs/Institute of Agricultural Resources and Regional Planning, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Beijing 100081, PR China.

E-mail address: caoweidong@caas.cn (W. Cao).